

**Figure 2.** Results of the pre-registered meta-analysis models. confirmatory model: (a) exclusions based on the original authors’ criterion (Olson & Fazio, 2001); exploratory models: exclusions based on the (b) Olson & Fazio (2001) modified, (c) Bar-Anan et al. (2010), and (d) Bar-Anan et al. (2010) modified criteria. DV was evaluative conditioning effect score (i.e., a preference for CSpos over CSneg). Each lab is identified by the last name of the corresponding author. In each forest plot, squares represent observed Hedges’ *g* effect sizes, size of square represents weighting in the model (i.e., inverse variance), and error bars represent 95% Confidence Intervals (CIs) around the effect size. The bottom row in the figure the outcome of a random-effects meta-analysis. No credibility intervals beyond the confidence intervals are visible due to no between site heterogeneity being observed. Estimates of heterogeneity (*I*2 and *H*2) are provided next to the meta-analysis model results. Restricted Maximum Likelihood estimation was used for all models.